

Tuesday, February 19th 1991

Today's Topics:

Knapp and Lazar  
End Lazar Part I  
Missing  
Paris Match - UFO sitings & Military release.  
Re: Increase apocalypse f  
Re: Skeptics

---

From: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Don Ecker)  
Subject: Knapp and Lazar  
Date: 17 Feb 91 20:13:00 GMT

The man that broke the Lazar story is award-winning  
journalist George Knapp of KLAS TV in Las Vegas. Knapp said;

All rights reserved.

Bob Lazar has come forward with  
a fairly incredible tale, and has done  
so at great personal risk. But what's  
most interesting to me is that the  
story he told me 16 months ago is  
the same story he is telling today. It  
hasn't changed, nor have I been able  
to find any indications that he at any  
point lied about his experiences.

This much we know is true: There  
really is an S-4 on the Nellis Air  
Force Base range; Lazar really did  
work for Naval intelligence, accor-  
ding to his W-2 form. He really was  
employed at Los Alamos National  
Laboratories, even though that facili-  
ty is still making it difficult for me to  
obtain corroborating paperwork.

Still, Lazar's involvement with an  
illegal brothel operation certainly  
hasn't helped with the search for  
truth. The situation has made me  
and a number of others open to ad-  
ditional ridicule and doubt, which is  
understandable. It has hurt Lazar's  
credibility in the eyes of many peo-  
ple, but to lots of others, it didn't  
matter; the fact that he was involved  
in something illegal doesn't rule out  
the possibility that he also worked on

"flying saucers."

That is a central issue. Lazar is a complex man, not easily categorized. I sometimes wonder if he wasn't in fact chosen for the release of this information. If a psychological profile was drawn up on Bob Lazar, he might have been sized up as the kind of guy who would "spill the beans." Whether he's been brainwashed into believing the story is another matter. He admits that his "employers" tampered with his mind, but whether they stuck this stuff into his head to see how people would react, I don't know. To be sure, nobody has yet freaked out!

I also can't say whether there will be a broader release of information at some point in the future, although there are indications that this is possible. There are people who don't know Lazar, and who don't even know each other, who have added bits and pieces of information that back up what he has said. Perhaps one of them will eventually choose to come forward, and when that happens, the Lazar story will still be incredible, but it won't be just his story. That fact just may capture a whole new level of public awareness.

George Knapp

George Knapp is a broadcast journalist working at KLAS-TV in Las Vegas, Nevada.

--

Don Ecker - via FidoNet node 1:104/422  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

---

From: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Don Ecker)  
Subject: End Lazar Part I  
Date: 17 Feb 91 20:14:00 GMT

Cont. from last.

All rights reserved.

\*\*\*

Backtracking to check any of these claims or establishing the various jobs, education, or business relationships of Lazar has proven very difficult. Lazar himself has not helped with the verification process. As he told UFO at one point, when we traveled to Las Vegas to interview him prior to his sentencing, "I saw what I saw, and you didn't. I don't care who believes me and who doesn't. \*\*\*\* the rest of you guys."

California Institute of Technology, MIT, and several other institutions profess no knowledge of Lazar. A check with Los Alamos, even with the phone book which lists him by name, brought a firm denial of knowledge about him. Edward Teller, when interviewed on camera by the television newsmagazine show Inside Report, also claimed to have never heard of him. However, his reactions when he was not aware of being taped are most enlightening.

Author's note: The guilty plea he entered after being convicted on a single count of pandering-in lieu of other possible charges, as a result of his plea negotiation-has absolutely hurt Lazar's credibility. His arrest came after he admitted on camera that he helped set up a computer system and security equipment for a well-known prostitute and an illegal brothel in Clark County, Nevada.

As he told Knapp earlier, Lazar is the easiest guy in the world to discredit, and he is right. Lazar refused to furnish UFO Magazine with information that could have helped with his case.

The political maneuvering in Las Vegas over this case has all the earmarks of a political year (which it is). Gene Huff, Lazar's good friend and associate through it all, has been Lazar's "mouth piece." At one point, William Moore of MJ-12 fame

was in Las Vegas, and while there attempted to meet with Lazar, but Lazar turned him down. Moore then wrote an editorial in his Focus newsletter which castigated Lazar. Lazar never responded himself, but Huff did, in print, including Moore's editorial and Huff's rebuttal. Along with this, Huff also wrote and included a text entitled "Where Is The Justice?" During the time span between Lazar's arrest and final sentencing, Huff wrote a scathing document alleging graft and collusion between Las Vegas Metro Vice and a known prostitute.

\*\*\*

UFO will go into this in more detail later, but what is significant is Lazar's unwillingness to cooperate by providing details that would have helped his case and verify his story. The UFO community did not beat a path to his door, but Lazar is the man who came forward alleging events and circumstances which, if true, have broken a 40-plus year secret that could prove to be the ultimate "Cosmic Conspiracy. "

It is unrealistic to expect the research community to just go away because one does not "wish to play." When dealing with the potential explosiveness of the information that Lazar has disseminated (and that will be revealed for the first time next issue), Lazar or any other whistleblower must realize that legitimate researchers are going to dig and dig some more in attempts to verify. What the serious research community is attempting is nothing less than to expose the coverup that has been ongoing for 40-plus years, an effort to cause the powers to admit that we do have "otherworldly" visitors. In this or any other field having such potential for world-altering breakthrough, one cannot half-step once the door has been opened.

In the next issue, we explore more of  
this story and look for the proof of  
what may be the ultimate coverup.

END OF PART ONE

--

Don Ecker - via FidoNet node 1:104/422  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Don Ecker)  
Subject: Missing  
Date: 17 Feb 91 22:53:00 GMT

With the outbreak of war in the Gulf a little over a month ago, many of us are reminded of many mysterious happenings that take place in time of war. Because war is what it is, many happenings are overlooked in the rush of events, that in times of peace would be looked at much more closely.

Being a combat veteran myself, one of the most disturbing things I found about war concerned my friends that went missing in action, or other wise known as MIA. As we all know now, having listened to the radio and TV this past month, once again we are faced with our American troops that have disappeared in the heat of combat while engaged with Iraqi troops.

Iraq, or as it was known in Biblical days MESOPOTAMIA, is no stranger to missing soldiers and airmen. In Frank Edwards book, "STRANGE WORLD", published by ACE BOOKS, INC. 1964, chronicled a very strange case that took place in British Colonial days. The following is titled;

#### THEY NEVER CAME BACK

Sometimes when people disappear it seems they literally walk off the earth. Such was the case with David Lang, whose classic disappearance as he walked across a field in Tennessee was watched by five witnesses. And in my book-Stranger Than Science, I also recounted the story of the Eskimo village of Anjikuni, where every man, woman, and child vanished, leaving their guns standing beside the doors of their huts. . their kayaks to rot on the beaches.. and their dogs to starve. They vanished a hint as to how, or where or why they went.

To the list of mysterious disappearances let us add another remarkable case.

July 24, 1924, was just another day of blazing heat in the desert of the Middle East, then called Mesopotamia. The Arabs were up in arms again; the British were keeping an eye on them whenever possible. On that day Flight Lieutenant W. T. Day and Pilot Officer D. R. Stewart took off in their single engine plane for a routine reconnaissance over the desert. Estimated flying time: four hours.

They have not been seen since.

When their plane was found, the day after they failed to return, the mystery was only deepened. There was gasoline in the tank, and the engine started readily when tried. There were no signs that the plane had been shot at. There was no clue to hint why they might have landed where they did . . . in a broad stretch of inhospitable desert.

The search party found something else that adds question marks to the case of the missing fliers.

Beside the plane in the soft sand were the boot marks where officers Day and Stewart had jumped down from the craft. Their tracks showed that they had left the plane and walked along side by side for about forty yards. There the tracks ended. The arrangement of the footprints indicated that the men had simply stopped, standing side by side. Then, and there, they vanished.

The fate which befell them will probably never be known. Armored trucks, planes, and half a dozen patrols of native tribesmen scoured the desert for miles around. There was never a trace of the missing fliers. Where their footsteps ended in the sand, their life stories ended too. And there began another classic mystery of missing men, another true story that is, indeed, strangest of all.

--

Don Ecker - via FidoNet node 1:104/422  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: ac.dal.ca!MCLARKE  
Subject: Paris Match - UFO sitings & Military release.  
Date: 18 Feb 91 18:16:55 GMT

From: MCLARKE@ac.dal.ca

Could you please confirm the issue date for the er

recent article in the Paris MATCH magazine featuring the evidence released by Belgian Military Officials. I would like to confirm the article before posting it locally.

Mike Clarke

Teaching Unit  
Academic Computer Service  
Dalhousie University  
Nova Scotia, Canada  
(902) 494-3455

PS

Were there any sightings of spherical UFOS during last February (1990) over the NE states or Quebec?

Mike

---

From: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Rick Moen)  
Subject: Re: Increase apocalypse f  
Date: 18 Feb 91 06:25:06 GMT

JP> When everyone agrees on something, everyone's usually wrong. --  
JP> old stock market saying.

Jim --

This puts me in mind of Asimov's Corollary to Clarke's Law.  
Arthur C. Clarke, you may recall, was the one who said

When a distinguished but elderly scientist states that something is possible, he is almost certainly right. When he states that something is impossible, he is very probably wrong. (Clarke's Law)

"Elderly", per Clarke's use of the term: "In physics, mathematics, and astrophysics, it means over thirty; in the other disciplines, senile decay is sometimes postponed to the forties."

Clarke's friend Isaac Asimov said he \_basically agreed\_ with this, even though he suspected that Clarke had him (among others) in mind, in so saying. However, Asimov said he wasn't terribly worried, since he was guided by the aforementioned corollary, in deciding which scientific heresies to denounce:

When, however, the lay public rallies around an idea that is denounced by distinguished but elderly scientists and supports that idea with great fervor and emotion -- the distinguished but elderly scientists are then, after all, probably right.

Best Regards,  
Rick M.

--

Rick Moen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG

-----  
From: Doug.Rogers@p0.f1.n606.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Doug Rogers)  
Subject: Re: Skeptics  
Date: 16 Feb 91 21:14:47 GMT

Rick,

I believe, if you will re-read my post, I am taking no particular individual to task. I was simply asking \*ALL\* participants to re-

examine what they are saying and doing. If I find anything you personally say to be out of line, please rest assured, you will hear from me.

I find nothing to criticize in your post to me, but I don't see where it argues with anything I've said. I was asking for ALL parties to honor the right of ALL RESPONSIBLE positions to be heard. If you can show a position to be IRRESPONSIBLE, then you are working within the guidelines of the network. The search for "truth" is the point of what is going on here, is it not?

Thanks for your comments, and keep asking questions.

And thanks also for your kind words. Moderators are, by definition Curmudgeons. A bit of appreciation is always welcomed by \*ALL\* of us.

--

Doug Rogers - via FidoNet node 1:104/422  
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User\_Name  
INTERNET: Doug.Rogers@p0.f1.n606.z1.FIDONET.ORG

\*\*\*\*\*To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to\*\*\*\*\*  
'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP	{ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara
DOMAIN	infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com
ADMIN Address	infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com
	{ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara-request



\*\*\*\*\*The\*\*End\*\*of\*\*Info-ParaNet\*\*Newsletter\*\*\*\*\*